Practitioner's Docket to. U 013898-4

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent application of	Inventor(s)	#5 RECE
for	Title of invention	Technolog 1 2002
	OR	Center 2100

In re application of: DAVID CROCKER

Serial No.: 10/091,122

Group No.: 2122 Examiner.: --

Filed: March 5, 2002

PROCESS AND SYSTEM FOR DEVELOPING MATHEMATICALLY

VALIDATED OBJECT-ORIENTED SOFTWARE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WITHIN THREE MONTHS OF FILING OR BEFORE MAILING OF FIRST OFFICE ACTION (37 C.F.R. 1.97(b))

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

\boxtimes	deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patent	ts,
	Washington, D.C. 20231.	

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

	3/ C.F.R. 1.0(a)	3/ C.P.R. 1.10		
×	with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. (mandatory)		
TRANSMISSION				
	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.			
Da	te: December 3, 2002	Signature WILLIAM R. EVANS (type or print name of person certifying)		

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

NOTE:	37 C.F.R. 1.98(b):		
	<i>(1)</i>	Each U.S. patent listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by inventor, patent number, and issue date.	
	(2)	Each U.S. patent application published listed in an information disclosure statement shall be identified by applicant, patent application publication number, and publication date.	
	(3)	Each U.S. application listed in an information disclosure statement must be ide by the inventor, application number, and filing date.	
	(4)	Each foreign patent or published foreign patent application listed in an informate disclosure statement must be identified by the country or patent office which issued patent or published the application, an appropriate document number, and publication date indicated on the patent or published application.	
	(5)	Each publication listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by publisher, author (si any), title, relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication.	
WARNI	NG:	No extension of time can be had under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36 (a) or (b) for filing an IDS. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(f).	

NOTE: The "filing date of a national application" under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b) has two possible meanings. Where the filing is a direct one to the United States Patent & Trademark office, the filing is defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b) as "the date on which: (1) A specification containing a description pursuant to § 1.71 and at least one claim pursuant to § 1.75; and (2) any drawing required by § 1.81(a), are filed in the Patent and Trademark Office in the name of the actual inventor or inventors as required by § 1.41." 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b)(1). On the other hand, an international application that enters the national stage occurs when the applicant has filed the documents and fees required by 35 U.S.C. § 371(c) within the periods set forth in § 1.494 or § 1.495. 35 U.S.C. § 371(c) requires the filing of the following: (1) the national fee; (2) a copy of the international application, unless already sent by the International Bureau, and an English translation if filed in another language; (3) amendments under PCT Article 19, with a translation into English if made in another language; (4) an oath or declaration; and (5) a translation into English of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report, if such annexes were made in another language. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b)(2).

IDENTIFICATION OF TIME OF FILING THE ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The information disclosure statement submitted herewith is being filed within three months of the filing date of the application or date of entry into the national stage of an international application or before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits, whichever event occurs last. 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b).

- NOTE: "No certification or fee is due when the filing is made within the above time period. It is advisable to ensure that no Office action has been mailed if the disclosure statement is delayed until after three months from filing."
- NOTE: "An information disclosure statement will be considered to have been filed on the day it was received in the Office, or on an earlier date of a mailing if accompanied by a properly executed certificate of mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8, or Express Mail certificate under 37 C.F.R. 1.10. An office action is mailed on the date indicated in the Office action." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).
- NOTE: "The term 'national application' includes continuing applications (continuations, divisions, continuationsin-part) so three-months will be measured from the actual filing date of an application as opposed [sic] to the effective date of a continuing application." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

NOTE: "An action on the merits means an action which treats the patentability of the claims in an application, as opposed to only formal or procedural requirements. An action on the merits would, for example, contain a rejection or indication of allowability of a claim or claims rather than just a restriction requirements (37 C.F.R. 1.142) or just a requirement for additional fees to have a claim considered (37 C.F.R. 1.16(d)). Thus, if an application was filed on Jan. 1 and the first Office action on the merits was not mailed until six months later on July 1, the examiner would be required to consider any proper information disclosure statement filed prior to July 1." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

WARNING: "A petition for suspension of action to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as failing to present good and sufficient reasons, since 37 C.F.R. 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner." Notice of July 6, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63).

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 25,858

Tel. No.: (212) 708-1930

Customer No.: 00140

WILLIAM R. EVANS
(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Address

c/o Ladas & Parry 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10023



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: DAVID CROCKER

Serial No.: 10/091,122

Group No.: 2122

Filed: March 5, 2002

Examiner .: --

PROCESS AND SYSTEM FOR DEVELOPING MATHEMATICALLY For: VALIDATED OBJECT-ORIENTED SOFTWARE

Attorney Docket No.: U 013898-4

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sirs:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

RECEIVED

Technology Center 2100 We draw the attention of the Examiner to the attached English-language version of a Search Report from a foreign office in respect of counterpart GB priority application no. 0105734.8 that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office. The Search Report makes consideration of any non-English art required. MPEP 609.

Form PTO-1449 is attached with reference copies.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM R. EVANS

LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10023 REG. NO. 25,858 (212)708-1930

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

William R. Evans

(Type or print name of person print)

Date: December 3, 2002

(Signature of person mailing paper







Application No:

GB 0105734.8

Claims searched: 1 - 24

Examiner: Date of search:

Richard Baines
5 February 2002

Patents Act 1977 Search Report under Section 17 RECEIVED

Technology Center 2100

Databases searched:

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.T): G4A (APL)

Int Cl (Ed.7): G06F 9/44

Other: Online: EPODOC, WPI, JAPIO, INSPEC

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage	Relevant to claims
X	Generating Efficient Protocol Code from an Abstract Specification; Castellucia, Dabbous, O'Malley; IEEE/ACM Transactions on /Networking; Vol 5; No 4; August 1997	1 at least
X ;	Improving the Efficiency of Automated Protocol Implementation using Estelle; Gotzhein, Bredereke, Effelsberg, Fischer, Held, König; Computer Communications 19, 1996	1 at least

- Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step
 Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined
- Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.
- & Member of the same patent family

- A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
 P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the
 - filing date of this invention.
- E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

FORM PTO-1449 ATTY. DOCKET NO. SERIAL NO. U. S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE U 013898-4 10/091,122 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **APPLICANT** STATEMENT BY APPLICANT DAVID CROCKER SUse several sheets if necessary) **FILING DATE GROUP** March 5, 2002 2122 REFERENCE DESIGNATION U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS FILING DATE **EXAMINER** SUB-**DOCUMENT** INITIAL NAME **CLASS CLASS APPROPRIATE** NUMBER DATE AΑ AΒ AC ΑD ΑE AF AG AΗ ΑI AJ ΑK FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS **DOCUMENT** SUB-**TRANSLATION** NUMBER DATE COUNTRY **CLASS CLASS** YES NO AL Α M AN AO AΡ ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Dates, Etc.) OTHER Castelluccia, C. et al. "Generating Efficient Protocol Code from an Abstract Specification." IEEE/ACM AR Transactions on Networking, Vol. 5, No. 4 (1997) pp 514-524 Gotzhein, R. et al. "Improving the Efficiency of Automated Protocol Implementation Using Estelle." AS Computer Communications 19 (1996) pp 1226-1235 ΑT

EXAMINER:

DATE CONSIDERED

R: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.